(Department of Archives)
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಪತ್ರಾಗಾರ (B)
(ಇಲಾಖಾ ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯ)
ಪ್ರಾಪ್ತಿ ಕ್ರಮಾಂಕ Accession No. 4707
ದಿನಾಂಕ Date.....

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

TWENTY-SECOND DAY.

Thursday, 30th May 1951.

The Assembly met in the Assembly Hall, Old Public Offices, Bangalore, at One of the Clock.

Mr. Deputy Speaker (Sri L. H. Thimma Boyi) in the Chair.

STARRED QUESTIONS.

(to which oral answers were given.)

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER. (Sri L. H. Thimma Boyi).—Questions. Question No. 122. Sri D. H. Chandrasekharaiya.

Development Schemes submitted to the Government of India Planning Commissions for approval.

*Q.—122. **Sri D. H. CHANDRASEKHARAIYA** (Chitaldrug District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the Development Schemes which have been submitted to the Government of India (Planning Commission) for consideration and approval;

(*b*) whether copies of these schemes will be made available to the members of the House for information ?

*A.—**The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY** (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) and (*b*) They are deemed confidential till they are considered and approved by the Government of India.

Sri D. H. CHANDRASEKHARAIYA.—Have the schemes been now approved by the Government of India ?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Recently there have been discussions between the representatives of the Mysore Government and the Government of India and certain decisions have been arrived at. But still they have not been finalised. They are still in a confidential stage. They will be ready for publication in about a week's time. We are also considering to release to the Press the summary of the decisions that have been arrived at so far.

Sri D. H. CHANDRASEKHARAIYA.—Has any proportion been fixed of the expenditure to be borne by the Government of Mysore and the Government of India ?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—I may indicate that the plans as now agreed upon cost about Rs. 32 crores out of which it is presumed that the Government of India will make available about 8 crores as loan.

Sri D. H. CHANDRASEKHARAIYA.—Does this expenditure of Rs. 32 crores refer to any scheme covering any particular period ?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Yes, five-year period.

Sri D. H. CHANDRASEKHARAIYA.—Have the Government considered the steps to be taken to provide the quota to be provided for by the Government of Mysore regarding the implementation of the scheme approved ?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—The plans have been drawn up on a realistic basis. It is keeping this permanently in view that the plans have been drawn up and it is hoped that when the scheme is finalised it will be possible to implement it.

Sri D. H. CHANDRASEKHARAIYA.—Does the scheme include expansion of education also ?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Yes.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK (Chitaldrug District—General).—Where is the question of confidential nature when the Hon'ble Minister is giving out details now?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—When the reply to this question was given at the time of the last session, the plans were deemed to be confidential because the Government desired it to be kept confidential till an understanding was arrived at and since then discussions have taken place and decisions arrived at. And we are also desirous of distributing copies of the plans to the Hon'ble Members.

Sri D. H. CHANDRASEKHARAIYA.—When do the Government expect the schemes to be finalised?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—The schemes have been finalised, but the procedure is as follows:—

The National Planning Commission will submit all the plans that they have decided upon for the whole of India in the first instance to the Government of India. The Government of India will then publish or place all the plans before the Parliament. As part of the overall plan, the Mysore plan will also be included in it. So the plans now decided will be further dealt with by the Government of India and they have to arrive at a decision in consultation with the Parliament and then we could say that the plans are finalised and not before that.

Sri D. H. CHANDRASEKHARAIYA.—If the schemes have been finalised between the Mysore Government and the Government of India, what difficulty is there for this Government to publish the scheme with the permission of the Government of India?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—I would like to clarify the position still further. What is now being attempted is not separate State plans, each one State standing by itself but an All-India plan so that there may be no duplication of effort or energy or the spending of finances. So realising that an All-India plan is necessary, the National Planning Commission has been set up at the Centre. Certain Inter-Departmental Planning Committees have been set up and the various States were asked to send up plans. It was done. It was at that stage that the interpellation was put and I replied that they were confidential. The Planning Commission considered all these plans of the various States and an overall plan is evolved then. Till the overall plan is finalised, we do not know what the Mysore plan is. We cannot finalise it ourselves.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Why should it be confidential? After all the recommendations have been sent and we are all interested to know the plans. Would we not be in a better position to know what it is if a copy is given to our hands?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—The confidential stage is practically over. As much information as is possible and all relevant information will be made available to the Hon'ble Members at a very early date, as I have already indicated.

Sri M. GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug District—General).—Are the plans of the Mysore Government to be subjected to further scrutiny?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—No scrutiny. The plans have been discussed. The whole question is one of finance. To what extent we can implement the plans when once they are adopted, is the main thing now. As I said earlier, the whole thing has to be dealt with on a realistic basis. If I may indicate, the original plan would have cost Rs. 80 crores. Where is the finance to come from? Is it from the State's stores or from the stores of the centre and if so in what proportion—all these things have to be taken into consideration.

Sri M. GOVINDA REDDY.—Are these plans to be approved by the Planning Commission?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—I have already answered this question. The National Planning Commission will consider all these plans for the whole of India, and the Government of India will probably consider the overall plan for the whole

of India. So it is that all the State plans have got to be considered in that context.

Sri M. GOVINDA REDDY.—After approval by the Planning Commission and before these plans are sent to the Government of India, are they to be subjected to revision or scrutiny by any other authority?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALA-RAYA REDDY.—I do not think so. The National Planning Commission will submit its plans and the Government of India may consider the action to be taken on them.

Sri M. GOVINDA REDDY.—Will the Government of India approve of these plans on the basis of the funds available or on the merits of each plan?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALA-RAYA REDDY.—Taking all aspects into consideration, the Government of India will have to take a decision.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Chitaldrug District—General).—Are any development schemes already in operation in Mysore State?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALA-RAYA REDDY.—Yes; some of them.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—What will happen to those schemes after these schemes have been sanctioned?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALA-RAYA REDDY.—We have provided for the completion of incomplete schemes. Obviously they will get priority.

Sri D. M. SIDDIAH (Mysore District—General),—When are these plans supposed to be given effect to?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALA-RAYA REDDY.—During the course of five years; some plans during the first two years and the other plans during the next three years; the overall period is five years.

Sri D. M. SIDDIAH.—What I am asking is when these plans are going to be implemented?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALA-RAYA REDDY.—From 51-52.

Sri D. M. SIDDIAH.—Is any radical change suggested in the scheme with regard to the system of education?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALA-RAYA REDDY.—No change in the system of education is thought of. We have considered what amount could be made available for expanding educational facilities in the State in general.

Sri D. M. SIDDIAH.—Is basic education contemplated in this plan?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALA-RAYA REDDY.—Yes.

Sri D. M. SIDDIAH.—Is improving the standard of living of the people included in the plan?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALA-RAYA REDDY.—Surely; the whole plan is intended for it.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Kolar District—General).—Are the Government aware of the recent communique issued by the Government of India with regard to development plans of Mysore?

Thi Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALA-RAYA REDDY.—Yes.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Does it mean that only eight crores will be set apart for these schemes as per the communique of the Government of India?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALA-RAYA REDDY.—That is what is assumed now.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Is it true that one of the Ministers has said in his statement that 16 crores of rupees will be given by the Government of India?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALA-RAYA REDDY.—No.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—What are the various development plans and the amounts required according to plans prepared by the State?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALA-RAYA REDDY.—All that information will be available to the Hon'ble Members when copies of the detailed plans will be distributed to them.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—When will they be distributed?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALA-RAYA REDDY.—I have already answered, as early as possible.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Will it not be better if Mysore has a Planning Commission of its own so that it may go into the plans in detail and then send them on to the Government of India?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—That is a matter of opinion. The drafting of the plan is practically over.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Has not our neighbouring State of Madras set up a Planning Commission of its own for that State?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—We have also a departmental Planning Committee now.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Will it not be advisable to have non-official members on the Committee?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Certainly; it is a worthwhile suggestion and we will consider that.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಲ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪನವರು (ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ).—ಪ್ಯೂನೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಈ ಸ್ಕೀಮನ್ನು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಈ ನಭಾ ನದನ್ಯರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನೇ ನಾದರೂ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದಿರಾ?

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಚೆಂಗಲರಾಯರೆಡ್ಡಿಯವರು.—ನಾನಾಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದಂತೆ, ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಆದರೂ, ನನಗೆ ತಿಳಿದ ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಇತರೇ ಯಾವ ಪ್ರಾಂತ ಶಾಸನ ನಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲೂ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಒಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ಹಾಗೆ ಕಾಣುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದರಲ್ಲೊಂದು ಕಷ್ಟವೂ ಇದೆ. ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಸ್ಟೇಜಿಗೂ informal ಆಗಿ ಏಮರ್ಶೆ ಮಾಡಿ ನಾವು ನಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಮುಂಚೆ ನಮಗೊಂದು ಪ್ಲಾನು ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದರ ಮೇಲೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರು ಪುನಃ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಬಹುದು. ಹೀಗೆ ಅವರು ಬರೆಯುವುದು, ನಾವು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವುದು, ಮತ್ತೆ ಅವರು ಕೇಳುವುದು—ಈ ರೀತಿ ಒಂದು ಅನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಯಾವುದೊಂದನ್ನೂ ಆಖೈರಾಗಿ ಈ ನಭೆಯ ಮುಂದಿಟ್ಟು ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸುವುದು ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಲ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪನವರು.—ಈ ಕಷ್ಟವನ್ನು ತಪ್ಪಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನೀವೊಂದು inter-departmental Committee ಮಾಡಿರುವುದರಲ್ಲೇ ಕೆಲವು ಖಾಸಗಿ ನದನ್ಯರನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರೆ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿತ್ತಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಚೆಂಗಲರಾಯರೆಡ್ಡಿಯವರು.—ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡಬಹುದಾಗಿತ್ತು ಎಂದು ಆಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—We are all non-officials in a way.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಲ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪನವರು.—For the time being ಏನೋ ಹೌದು. ಆದರೂ, ಈಗಲೂ ನೀವೇನು ಸ್ಕೀಮನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರೋ ಅದನ್ನು ಈ ನಭೆಯ ಮುಂದಿಟ್ಟು ಈ ನದನ್ಯರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನೂ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಚೆಂಗಲರಾಯರೆಡ್ಡಿಯವರು.—ಇನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ದಿವಸಗಳಲ್ಲೇ ಆ ಪ್ಲಾನನ್ನು ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಅದರ ಮೇಲೆ ನಭೆಯವರು ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಿ ತಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸುವುದರಲ್ಲೇನೂ ತೊಂದರೆಯಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಲ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪನವರು.—ಹಾಗೆ ಎಲ್ಲವೂ ಪೂರ್ತಿಯಾಗಿ ಬಿಟ್ಟ ಮೇಲೆ ನಾವು ಮತ್ತೆ ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಗಳನ್ನು finalise ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಒಮ್ಮೆ ಈ ನಭೆಯವರು ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಚೆಂಗಲರಾಯರೆಡ್ಡಿಯವರು.—ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ನರ್ಕಾರದ ನ್ಯಾಷನರ್ ಪ್ಲಾನಿಂಗ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್ನಿನವರು ಜೂನ್ 8 ಕ್ಕೋ 12 ಕ್ಕೋ ತಮ್ಮ ಆಖೈರು ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ನರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅಂತೂ ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ನರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳಿನೊಳಗೆ ಈ ಸ್ಕೀಮನ್ನು finalise ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸಂದರ್ಭ ಹೀಗಿರುವಾಗ ನಾವದನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಪುನಃ ಏಮರ್ಶೆ ಮಾಡಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಆ ನ್ಯಾಷನರ್ ಪ್ಲಾನಿಂಗ್ ನಮಿತಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟು ಅವರು ಅದರಂತೆ ಸ್ಕೀಮನ್ನು ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಿಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಡುವುದು ಎಷ್ಟು ಪಾತ್ರ ಸಾಧ್ಯವೋ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಲ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪನವರು.—ತಾವು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಯೋಜನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಮೂರು ಮೇಜರ್ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳೇನಾದರೂ ಸೇರಿವೆಯೇ?

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಚೆಂಗಲರಾಯರೆಡ್ಡಿಯವರು.—ಸೇರಿವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಲ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪನವರು.—ಲಕ್ಕವಳ್ಳಿ, ತುಂಗ ಮತ್ತು ನುಗು—ಇವು ಮೂರೂ ಸೇರಿವೆಯೇ?

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಚೆಂಗಲರಾಯರೆಡ್ಡಿಯವರು.—ಸೇರಿವೆ. ಈಗ ನರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ facts ಮತ್ತು statements ನಿಂದ ನಿಮಗೇ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ನುಮಾರು 7-7½ ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಐದು ವರ್ಷ ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ irrigation ಬಾಬಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಲ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪನವರು.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಈ ಮೂರು ಮೇಜರ್ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳು ಸೇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ!! ಏಕೆಂದರೆ, ಲಕ್ಕವಳ್ಳಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯೊಂದಕ್ಕೇ 18 ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತವೆ. ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳಿಗೂ ಒಟ್ಟು ಸೇರಿ 7½ ಕೋಟಿಯೆಂದರೆ ಇವುಗಳು ಸೇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ, ಅಲ್ಲವೇ!

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಚೆಂಗಲರಾಯರೆಡ್ಡಿಯವರು.—ಇದು ಮೊದಲನೆಯ ಸ್ಟೇಜಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಒದಗಿಸಿರುವ ಹಣ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಲ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪನವರು.—ಈ ಸ್ಕೀಮುಗಳು ಯಾವ ಸ್ಕೀಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿವೆ? ಎರಡು ವರ್ಷದ್ದಾರಲ್ಲೇ, ಐದು ವರ್ಷದ್ದಾರಲ್ಲೇ ಅಥವಾ ಹದಿನೈದು ವರ್ಷದ್ದಾರಲ್ಲೇ?

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಚೆಂಗಲರಾಯರೆಡ್ಡಿಯವರು.—ಐದು ವರ್ಷದ್ದಾರಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಲ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪನವರು.—ಐದು ವರ್ಷದ ಸ್ಕೀಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿದ್ದು, ಇವು ಮೂರಕ್ಕೂ ಸೇರಿ 7½ ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳೇ!

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಚೆಂಗಲರಾಯರೆಡ್ಡಿಯವರು.—ಹೌದು.

Sri V. M. MASCARENHAS (Bangalore Retroceded Area—General).—Is it not strange that the Government should have launched upon these schemes costing crores of rupees with little consideration to this House?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—I would like to know what schemes the Hon'ble Member has in view. All these schemes have been mentioned in the Budget. The whole Budget was before the Hon'ble Members and they had ample opportunity to express their opinion on them. If the Hon'ble Member refers to a particular instance, I can give him a more definite reply.

Sri V. M. MASCARENHAS.—Do the plans refer only to those schemes?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Those schemes and the schemes that will be decided upon in the next five years. When the next Budget is placed before the House it will contain all that information.

Sri V. M. MASCARENHAS.—Will this House be consulted before they are finalised?

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—When the implementation of the plan is taken up and when funds have to be provided for in the Budget, then the matter will be seized of by this House. For example, we have already provided in the Budget for 1951-52 for the schemes incorporated in the five-year plan and the expenditure has already come up before the House for expression of opinion. If the Budget for 1952-53 is placed before the House at the next Budget Session the House will then know what those schemes are and the members will have an ample opportunity to express their opinion then.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Next question. Sri S. Ranga Rao.

Travelling Allowances drawn by each Minister up to end of February 1951.

*Q.—171. **Sri S. RANGA RAO** (Chitaldrug District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

the amount of travelling allowances drawn by each Minister during the following periods (i) from October 1947 to end of June 1948, (ii) from July 1948 to end of June 1949, (iii) from July 1949 to end of March 1950, and (iv) from April 1950 to end of February 1951?

A.—**The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY** (Chief Minister).—

Serial No.	Name of Minister	T.A. drawn during the period from October 1947 to end of June 1948.	From July 1948 to end of June 1949	From July 1949 to end of March 1950	From April 1950 to end of February 1951
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1	The Hon'ble Sri K. C. Reddy, Chief Minister.	3,002 9 0	5,597 10 0	4,216 1 0	5,336 12 0
2	The Hon'ble Sri H. C. Dasappa, Minister for Finance and Industries.	3,210 13 0	4,150 14 0	6,566 8 0	4,816 2 0
3	The Hon'ble Sri K. T. Bhashyam Iyengar, Minister for Law and Labour.	4,739 3 0	6,957 13 0	5,957 1 0	5,811 12 0
4	The Hon'ble Sri H. Siddaiya, Minister for Revenue.	3,590 1 0	7,128 6 0	4,382 10 0	3,519 12 0*
5	The Hon'ble Sri T. Mariappa, Home Minister.	3,191 3 0	4,814 12 0	5,885 7 0	5,834 5 0
6	The Hon'ble Sri R. Chennigaramiah, Minister for Local Self-Government.	2,563 5 0	4,962 11 0	2,892 5 0	3,279 6 0*
7	*Rajasevasakta* Sri P. Subbarama Chetty, Minister for City Municipalities and Muzrai.	1,699 4 0	2,738 7 0	3,625 7 0	...
8	Janab Mohamood Shariff, Minister for Excise and Public Health.	2,502 0 0	3,797 2 0	3,220 15 0	...
9	The Hon'ble Sri D. H. Chandrasekharaiya, Minister for Education.	1,580 15 0	5,181 8 0	5,022 12 0	...
10	The Hon'ble Sri T. Siddalingaiya, Minister for Education.	...	...	...	4,287 9 0†

* Claim for February 1951, not included as the bills have not been preferred.
† Up to 6th December 1950.